Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,884	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DIMPLE N. BODAWALA	1791
All Participants: Status of Application: After final		
(1) <u>Dimple Bodawala</u> .	(3)	
(2) James Armstrong (Applicant's representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 July 2010	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 19, 21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/D. N. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1791	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/539,884

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims cite limitation of "a vertical tensile strength from between 20 Kg/f/cm^2 to at least 50 Kg/f/cm^2", wherein term "at least" makes the scope of the subject matter indefinite and furthermore, instant disclosure fails to provide antecedent basis for this limitation within the disclosure, therefore, Applicant's representative had been advised to amend the claim as disclosed in Examiners Amendment.